

1) Information about the Degree course

ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT OF THE DEGREE COURSE

Status: (Planned -Executed - Non-executed - In progress)

Additional actions foreseen: (If the corrective action has not been executed or completed)

Description:

_	_		
Degree course Code (Codicione)			
University		Free University of Bozen-Bolzano	
State or non-state		□ State x Non-state	
University type		x Traditional Telematic or offering more than 3 distance learning degree courses	
Geographic Area		x North-east North-west Center and Islan	
Degree class Type	□ L/BA	LMCU LM/MA	
Distribution	 Conventional 	☐ Mixed ☐ Mostly distance-☐ Entirely ☐ learning ☐ learn	ely distance- ing
Regular duration			9
		ng to didactics and the Group B - Indicators rel of the file <i>Scheda del Corso di Studio</i> – <i>October 20</i>	
Summary comment Corrective Actions	on the Indicators an	d analysis of any critical issues	
recognizes and commo critical issues. It is advisable to compo	ents on the most sign are the data, firstly, to	the indicators of its choice, but it is important that ificant ones, detecting the successful ones and idea the geographical area average and the national avera isable to comment them.	ntifying any
For critical indicators, to actions, defining object	the Degree course is cal tives, actions to be tal to the critical issue mu	lled upon to investigate possible causes and to propostion, the person in charge, its duration etc. Correctist be avoided, as well as too generic, unrealistic p	tive Actions
Action n.: Title: Reference year: Responsible: (where p		I to identify a single person and not a group e.g., cou	



3) Indicators Part II:

Please refer to the Group E - Further indicators for the evaluation of didactics DM 987/2016, Annex E and the Additional Indicators of the file Scheda del Corso di Studio – October 2021.

Summary comment on the Indicators and analysis of any critical issues Corrective actions

Each Degree course is free to comment on the indicators of its choice, but it is important that the course recognizes and comments on the most significant ones, detecting the successful ones and identifying any critical issues.

It is advisable to compare the data, firstly, to the geographical area average and the national average: In case of particular issues and divergences, it is advisable to comment them.

For critical indicators, the Degree course is called upon to investigate possible causes and to propose corrective actions, defining objectives, actions to be taken, the person in charge, its duration... etc. Corrective actions with few connections to the critical issue must be avoided, as well as too generic, unrealistic proposals, or actions dependent on or of governance of other bodies.

Action n.:

Title:

Reference year:

Responsible: (where possible, it is suggested to identify a single person and not a group e.g., course council, course lecturers)

Duration:

Status: (Planned -Executed - Non-executed - In progress)

Description:

Additional actions foreseen: (If the corrective action has not been executed or completed)

Indication of the status quo of corrective actions taken over the past years		
For corrective actions undertaken in previous years, the results obtained can be included here.		



4) STATUS OF CORRECTIVE ACTIONS AND FEEDBACK TO THE SUGGESTIONS OF THE CPDS AND THE NDV

Indication of the status quo of the suggestions of the Joint Studies Committee/response within the Degree course

For each suggestion made by the Joint Study Committee, please use the following scheme:

Corrective action/suggestion proposed by the Joint Study Committee:

Reference year:

Feedback within the Degree Course:

Responsible: (where possible, it is suggested to indicate a single person and not a group e.g., course council, course lecturers)

Duration:

Status: (Planned -Executed - Non-executed - In progress)

Planned actions: (short description)

Did the Degree Course take into consideration the indications and recommendations expressed by the University Evaluation Board (NdV) in the previous year's report, Report on the Survey of Students' Opinions (pursuant to Art. 1, paragraph 2 of Law 370/1999) of the previous academic year and those of any audit carried out during the year? With what results?

(Indicate implemented, ongoing and planned corrective actions)

For each suggestion made by the University Evaluation Board, please use the following scheme:

Corrective action/suggestion proposed by the University Evaluation Board:

Reference year:

Feedback within the Degree Course:

Responsible: (where possible, it is suggested to indicate a single person and not a group e.g., course council, course lecturers)

Duration:

Status: (Planned - Executed - Non-executed - In progress)

Planned actions: (short description)