

**Research Monitoring Report
Scheda di monitoraggio annuale della ricerca (SUA-RD)
2016-2018**

Faculty of _____

Indicate the players involved in the SUA-RD (members of the QA commission) and the functional development (organization, task distribution, sharing modality).

**Commission for Quality Assurance of Research and Third Mission of the Faculty
Mandatory components**

Prof. (Vice Dean for Research – Responsible for the SUA-RD)

Prof. (Responsible for the research area)

Prof. (Responsible for the research area)

In addition, the following were consulted:

The Commission for Quality Assurance of Research and Third Mission met for discussion of the topics listed in this report, operating as follows:

- **Xx.yy.zzzz**
- **Xx.yy.zzzz**

Presented, discussed and approved by the Faculty Council on: dd.mm.year

Summary of the outcome by the Commission for Quality Assurance of Research and Third Mission

(if possible, less than 1500 characters including spaces)

The maximum synthesis is recommended here. Give brief notice of any disagreements expressed or opinions that have not been shared by all members.

Section I Objectives, resources and management of the Faculty

1.a Research Objectives of the Faculty

1.a.1 Strategic objectives in research:

1.a.2 General objectives of the University:

1.b. Organizational structure of the Faculty

List and description of the research areas with persons responsible and members (status 2018?)

1.c. Quality Assurance

Commission for Quality Assurance of Research and Third Mission of the Faculty (please list the members, their affiliation to the SSD and information on their appointment):

1.d. Competence Centers to which members of the Faculty belong (please list Director and members, short description)

1.e Infrastructure

1.e.1 Research laboratories

Description of research laboratories

--

1.e.2 Large research equipment (> 100.000€)

Name or type	Scientific responsible	Classification	Funds of purchase	Year of activation	Application	Results from use

1.f.1 Academic staff (Tenured/associate/collegiate professors, researchers, research fellows on a fixed-term contract - RTD, research assistants - AR, PhD students) as at 31.12.2018

Surname	Name	Qualification	CUN area	SSD

1.f.2 Academic staff with indication of the reference to the ERC panels (Examples)

ERC Panel	Staff members	Percentage (%)
No indication		
<i>LS7 Diagnostic Tools, Therapies and Public Health: Aetiology, diagnosis and treatment</i>		
<i>SH2 The Social World, Diversity, Institutions and Values: Sociology, politica I science, law, communication, education</i>		

Self-assessment of Section I Objectives, resources and management of the Faculty

**How has the Faculty developed since 2014 (exercise of the last SUA RD)? What are the strengths and weaknesses with respect to this Section?
 What is the level of achievement of the defined objectives?
 What corrective and/or improvement actions does the Faculty intend to undertake, if the self-assessment has revealed problems or the need for improvement?**

For each corrective action proposed, it is advisable to define its objectives, the actions to be undertaken, to identify the person responsible, and to define its schedule and duration. Corrective actions with few links to the critical issues highlighted, generic or unfeasible requests or those uncontrollable and dependent on other entities must be avoided.

Objective 1.		
Monitoring		
Deadline		
Actions planned	Indicators	Responsible
Action 1.1		
Action 1.2		
Objective 2 ...		
Monitoring		
Deadline		
Actions planned	Indicators	Responsible
Action 2.1		
Action 2.2		

Section II Research results

2.a.1 Scientific production* (number of publications) of professors, researchers, PhD students, research assistants in the reference years

Type	2016	2017	2018
Scientific papers			
Book chapters			
Books			
Conference proceedings presented at international conferences and indexed as conference papers in WoS/Scopus (for bibliometric areas)			
Other			

*for bibliometric areas: articles published in journals indexed in Scopus or WoS

2.a.2 Number of academic staff with no scientific production in the reference period (2016-2018) (indicate periods of leave or illness)

Type	2016	2017	2018
Professors			
Researchers			
RTD			

2.b Prizes and awards (indicate number)

Type	2016	2017	2018
National			
International			

2.c Conference organizing committee responsibilities (indicate number)

Type	2016	2017	2018
National			
International			

2.d Director or member in editorial boards of journals, collections, encyclopedia and treatise (indicate number)

Positions	National	International
Director of Journal, ...		
Member of the editorial board, ...		

2.e International Conference organizing committee responsibilities (indicate number)

Positions	2016	2017	2018
Scientific Chairperson, scientific organisation, scientific coordination, scientific responsibility			

2.f Fellow of international scientific societies

Type	2016	2017	2018
National			
International			

2.g Director or scientific responsibility / coordination of public or private or international research institutes

Type of institution	2016	2017	2018
National			
International			

2.h Teaching or research assignments at international public or private universities and research centers with a minimum duration of 30 days

Assignments	2016	2017	2018
National			
International			

2.i Research projects eligible for funding on the basis of competitive calls for application (indicate number and total financing by type)

Funding	2015		2016		2017	
	N	€	N	€	N	€
EU funding						
National funding						
Provincial funding						
Competitive university (internal) funding						
Funds from research contracts						
Other						

Commented [SK1]: This data will be provided by the Service Research and Innovation

2.j Summary of the analysis of VQR results 2011 – 2014

Self-assessment of Section II - Research outputs

How has the Faculty developed since 2014 (exercise of the last SUA RD)? What are the strengths and weaknesses with respect to this Section?
What is the level of achievement of the defined objectives?
What corrective and/or improvement actions does the Faculty intend to undertake, if the self-assessment has revealed problems or the need for improvement?

For each corrective action proposed, it is advisable to define its objectives, the actions to be undertaken, to identify the person responsible, and to define its schedule and duration. Corrective actions with few links to the critical issues highlighted, generic or unfeasible requests or those uncontrollable and dependent on other entities must be avoided.

Objective 1.		
Monitoring		
Deadline		
Actions planned	Indicators	Responsibile
Action 1.1		
Action 1.2		
Objective 2 ...		
Monitoring		
Deadline		
Actions planned	Indicators	Responsibile
Action 2.1		
Action 2.2		

Section III Third Mission

3.a Third Mission Activities

Does the Faculty monitor the activities of the Third Mission?

Yes No

If yes, indicate the number of initiatives carried out between 2016 and 2018, broken down by type of activity:

Activity	n. of activities 2016	n. of activities 2017	n. of activities 2018
Disseminated publications at regional, national or international level			
Participation in regional, national or international radio and television broadcasts			
Active participation in public meetings organized by other bodies (e.g. scientific cafés, festivals, scientific fairs, etc.)			
Organization and/or Participation in public events (e.g. Researchers' Night, Open Day)			
publications (print and digital) dedicated to external audiences (e.g. university magazines)			
Organized communication training days (for teachers)			
Interactive and/or popular websites, blogs			
Organization of concerts, exhibitions and other public events open to the community			
Participation in the formulation of programs of public interest			
Health protection initiatives (e.g. information and prevention days)			
Initiatives for orientation and interaction with schools of all levels			
Information initiatives aimed at children and young people			
Participation democracy initiatives (e.g. <i>consensus conferences, citizen panels</i>)			
Activities related to the protection of intellectual property, in particular those which may give income from commercialization			
Activities related to the creation of spin-off companies			

Self-assessment of Section III – Third Mission

How has the Faculty developed since 2014 (exercise of the last SUA RD)? What are the strengths and weaknesses with respect to this Section?
What is the level of achievement of the defined objectives?
What corrective and/or improvement actions does the Faculty intend to undertake, if the self-assessment has revealed problems or the need for improvement?

For each corrective action proposed, it is advisable to define its objectives, the actions to be undertaken, to identify the person responsible, and to define its schedule and duration. Corrective actions with few links to the critical issues highlighted, generic or unfeasible requests or those uncontrollable and dependent on other entities must be avoided.

Objective 1.		
Monitoring		
Deadline		
Actions planned	Indicators	Responsibile
Action 1.1		
Action 1.2		
Objective 2 ...		
Monitoring		
Deadline		
Actions planned	Indicators	Responsibile
Action 2.1		
Action 2.2		